Publish-on translatable	Status translatable	publish date entered			Accomtable
Yes, differ	Yes, differ	entered	Save	Anything goes, all OK	Acceptable? Yes
No, synced	No, synced			Matched, all OK	Yes
ivo, synecu	ivo, synecu			Publish-on date will not be copied to other translations, but the change in	103
				status will be, so would unpublish all translations if one is scheduled, but	
Yes, differ	No, synced	Yes	Pub or Unpub	only leave that one scheduled.	No
	.10, 5,	. 65	. a. c. cpas	All translations will be published, even those with a scheduled publish	
		No	Published	date (which will remain set).	No
		110	- abiisilea	Would unpublish all translations, even those with a scheduled unpublish	110
		No	Unpublished	date (which will remain set)	No
			0pab	Publish-on date will be synced to all translations, but status will not be	
No, synced	Yes, differ	Yes	Pub or Unpub	turned off on the translations	No
		. 65	. a. c. cpas	If date removed, then will be removed on all translations. OK except if this	
		No	Published	translation is manually published the others will not be.	No
		No	Unpublished	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
			•		
		unpublish			
Unpublish-on	Status	date	Status on		
translatable	translatable	entered	Save		Acceptable?
Yes, differ	Yes, differ			Anything goes, all OK	Yes
No, synced	No, synced			Matched, all OK	Yes
	.,.,			On scheduled unpublishing all other translations would get unpublished,	
Yes, differ	No, synced	Yes	Pub or Unpub	regardless of whether they had an scheduled unpublish date.	No
	. ,	No	Published	, ,	
				On manual unpublishing all other translations would get unpublished,	
				regardless of whether they had an scheduled unpublish date. Any	
		No	Unpublished	unpublish dates would remain set on the unpublished translations.	No
			•	Setting an unpublish date would get replicated to all translations. But as	
				we do not do anything automatic with status on save this might not be	
No, synced	Yes, differ	Yes	Pub or Unpub	wrong (although could lead to confusion)	Maybe
	•	No	Published	•	•
				Removing a scheduled unpublish date and unpublishing the content	
				manually would mean all other unpub date get removed but the	
		No	Unpublished	translations remain published.	No

If any of the three fields (publish-on, unpublish-on and status) are translatable then they should all be translatable. You cannot have independent publish-on dates but have the status always synced across all translations, as when the first of the scheduled translations is published all the others will be too, thus the other independent publish-on dates are meaningless (and confusing).

 $Likewise \ to \ have \ independent \ unpublish-on \ dates \ with \ non-translatable \ synced \ status \ means \ that \ when \ the \ first \ of \ those \ translations \ is \ unpublished, \ they \ will \ all \ get \ unpublished.$ 

To have the status field independently translatable, but have the scheduler dates synced is a problem because when a publish-on date is entered on one translation it will be copied to all translations but the status will not be set to unpublished for those other translations

Likewise if a translation was scheduled to be unpublished (and thus all translations scheduled to be unpublished) but one of them is manually unpublished and the scheduled date removed, then the date will be removed from all translations but those other translations will not be unpublished to match.

So either all three fields need to be translatable and independent, or all three need to be non-translatable and synchronised.